

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       | F       | ILING DATE        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-----------------------|---------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/156,311 09/17/1998 |         | MASAHIKO SAKAYORI | B588-010             | 9920                    |                  |  |
| 26272                 | 7590    | 11/06/2002        |                      |                         |                  |  |
|                       |         | & DALEY           | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |  |
| 2ND FLOC<br>330 MADIS | SON AVE |                   | BACKER, FIRMIN       |                         |                  |  |
| NEW YORK, NY 10017    |         |                   |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                       |         |                   |                      | 3621                    | 3621             |  |
|                       |         |                   |                      | DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| SY |
|----|
| _, |

| 1)   | 4  |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Firmin Backer   S621   |  |   | 09/156,311   | SAKAYORI ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| - The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —  Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of the may be a validate under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(d). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fled in the provision of t  |  | Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time range has available under the piles communication.  - Extension of time range has available under the piles communication.  - Extension of time range has available under the piles communication.  - Extension of time range has available under the piles communication.  - If NO period for regly specified above, he maximum estatutory praintenance of theiry (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for regly specified above, he maximum estatutory praintenance of theiry (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for regly specified above, he maximum estatutory praintenance of their St. (30) MONTH'S from the maining date of this communication, days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for regly specified above, he maximum estatutory praintenance of the communication, and the praintenance of the communication, and the provided and provided a |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.15(6). In no event, however, may a risply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failus to reply within the sellor of the communication of the communi |  |   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 60-96 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 60-96 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: al accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) objected to by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  | THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply  period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed<br>s will be considered timely.<br>the mailing date of this communication.<br>D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| 3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ⊠ Claim(s) 60-96 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  4pplication Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  □ □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)  2) □ Notice of Paftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 1)🖂  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 C  | October 2002 .   |   |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)   | 2a) <u></u>  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi  | is action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) 60-96 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | ·  | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | <u>-</u>   |   | _  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)    Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)   Objected to Diffication (PTO-152)  | , <del></del>  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6)  Claim(s) 60-96 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on  is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b) Some *c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)    Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  |  |   | vn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | •  | · / · — ·   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §\$ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | ·  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | -  | -   | r election requirement.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)  approved b)  disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  | ·   | •  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)   | ,—   |   |  | miner   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | اـــارە.   |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | 11)[7]   |   | - · · ·  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | ٠.,۵   |   | . ,— ., ,— .,  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | 12)  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | Priority u   | inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  | •  |   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a   | ı)-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |   |  | , , , , ,   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)   | ,  |   | s have been received.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | * 5  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But   | ity documents have been receive<br>reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | ed in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |
| a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |   | ·  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | а  | )  The translation of the foreign language pro  | visional application has been rec  | eived.  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | •  | •   | c priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120  | and/or 121.   |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |   | ,, <b></b>   |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2) Notic   | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) Notice of Informal I  |   |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3621

## **Continued Prosecution Application**

1. The request filed on October 30, 2002 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/156,311 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

#### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 60-96 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 60-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peterson et al. (U.S. 6,324,522) in view of Wiecha (U.S. Patent No. 5,870,7176).
- 5. As per claims 60, 66, 67, 69, 70, 79, 80, 81, 82, 89, 96, Peterson et al teach a part management information system (electronic information network for invention control) for

Art Unit: 3621

managing order placed and order received (new orders, orders processed, orders in process) by shops displaced as independent work unit (see abstract, fig. 14, 18, column 4 lines 27-5 lines 17), comprising communication means for communicating data indicating statuses of orders received and placed (new orders, orders in process), orders received (new orders) and orders placed (orders placed) (see fig 14, column 34 lines 59-35 lines 40) determining whether data input by the communication mean is orders received and placed (new orders, orders in process), orders received (new orders) and orders placed (orders placed) an control display of a combination of an icon corresponding of an icon corresponding to a management item and data indicating a status of the management item represented by the icon (see column fig 15, column 23 line 5-60). Peterson et al fail to teach an inventive concept of display control means for controlling display on display means, which determining the status of the order of a combination of an icon corresponding to a management item and data indicating a status of the management item represented by the icon. However, Weicha teaches an inventive concept of display control means for controlling display on display means, which determining the status of the order of a combination of an icon corresponding to a management item and data indicating a status of the management item represented by the icon (see abstract, fig 6, 7, and 12, column 2 lines 38-49, 10 lines 38-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Peterson et al's inventive concept to include Wiecha's inventive concept of display control means for controlling display on display means, which determining the status of the order of a combination of an icon corresponding to a management item and data indicating a status of the management item represented by the icon because this

Application/Control Number: 09/156,311 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

would have enhanced the system by providing a greater dynamic inventory and distribution method and system.

- 6. As per claim 61, 68, Peterson et al teach a system wherein the display control mean controls display of a combination of an item indicative of an order placed or an order received and an icon corresponding to a management item and wherein the control means determines that the input data is an order received and no icon corresponding to a management item on a order received or placed side (see column fig 15, column 23 line 5-60).
- 7. As per claim 62, Peterson et al teach a system wherein the data indicating a status of the management item is a number of processed orders or orders placed corresponding to a management item (see fig 14, column 34 lines 59-35 lines 40).
- 8. As per claim 63, 64, Peterson et al teach a system wherein the management items is displayed as and capable of being added, changed or deleted (see column fig 15, column 23 line 5-60).
- 9. As per claim 65, Peterson et al teach a system wherein the data indicating a status of the management item is updated upon downloading (see column fig 15, column 23 line 5-60).

Art Unit: 3621

- 10. As per claim 71, Peterson et al teach a system wherein the data corresponding to the plurality of management items are numbers or orders and second display control means control display of the number or order (see fig 14, column 34 lines 59-35 lines 40)
- 11. As per claim 72-75, Peterson et al teach a system further comprising input means inputting and initial data for setting up a graph and the number of days setting a period in the graph (see fig 14, column 34 lines 59-35 lines 40).
- 12. As per claims 76, Peterson et al teach a part management information system (electronic information network for invention control) (see abstract, fig. 14, 18, column 4 lines 27-5 lines 17), first display control means for controlling display on display means, which determining the status of the order (statuses of statuses), selection means for allowing selection of management items out or the displayed plurality of management items for the order received or placed (see column fig 15, column 23 line 5-60). Peterson et al fail to teach an inventive concept of display control means for controlling display on display means of data indicating the number of corresponding to the plurality of management items selected by the selection means daily data in a form of three-dimensional graph in relation to the selected plurality of management item together with the management of item controlled to be displayed by the first display control means. However, Weicha teaches an inventive concept of display control means for controlling display on display means of data indicating the number of corresponding to the plurality of management items selected by the selection means daily data in a form of three-dimensional graph in relation to the selected plurality of management item together with the management of

Art Unit: 3621

item controlled to be displayed by the first display control means (see abstract, fig 6, 7, and 12, column 2 lines 38-49, 10 lines 38-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Peterson et al's inventive concept to include Wiecha's inventive concept of display control means for controlling display on display means of data indicating the number of corresponding to the plurality of management items selected by the selection means daily data in a form of three-dimensional graph in relation to the selected plurality of management item together with the management of item controlled to be displayed by the first display control means because this would have enhanced the system by providing a greater dynamic inventory and distribution method and system.

(electronic information network for invention control) for managing order placed and order received (new orders, orders processed, orders in process) (see abstract, fig. 14, 18, column 4 lines 27-5 lines 17), comprising communication means for communicating data indicating statuses of orders received and placed (new orders, orders in process), orders received (new orders) and orders placed (orders placed) (see fig 14, column 34 lines 59-35 lines 40) first display control means for controlling display on display means, which determining the status of the order (statuses of statuses), selection means for allowing selection of management items out or the displayed plurality of management items for the order received or placed (see column fig 15, column 23 line 5-60) Peterson et al fail to teach an inventive concept of display control means for controlling display on display means of data indicating the number of corresponding to the plurality of management items selected by the selection means daily data in a form of

Art Unit: 3621

three-dimensional graph in relation to the selected plurality of management item together with the management of item controlled to be displayed by the first display control means. However, Weicha teaches an inventive concept of display control means for controlling display on display means of data indicating the number of corresponding to the plurality of management items selected by the selection means daily data in a form of three-dimensional graph in relation to the selected plurality of management item together with the management of item controlled to be displayed by the first display control means (see abstract, fig 6, 7, and 12, column 2 lines 38-49, 10 lines 38-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Peterson et al's inventive concept to include Wiecha's inventive concept of display control means for controlling display on display means of data indicating the number of corresponding to the plurality of management items selected by the selection means daily data in a form of three-dimensional graph in relation to the selected plurality of management item together with the management of item controlled to be displayed by the first display control means because this would have enhanced the system by providing a greater dynamic inventory and distribution method and system.

- 14. As per claim 84, 85, 91, 92, Peterson et al teach a system wherein the display control mean controls display of a combination of an item indicative of an order placed or an order received and an icon (see column fig 15, column 23 line 5-60).
- 15. As per claim 86-88, 93-95, Peterson et al teach a system wherein the display control means comprises a function for displaying a selected management item out of the management

Art Unit: 3621

of the management items together with a management..., in the form of table, and for changing the position on the display mean (see fig 14, column 34 lines 59-35 lines 40).

- 16. As per claim 63, 64, Peterson et al teach a system wherein the management items is displayed as and capable of being added, changed or deleted (see column fig 15, column 23 line 5-60).
- 17. As per claim 65, Peterson et al teach a system wherein the data indicating a status of the management item is updated upon downloading (see column fig 15, column 23 line 5-60).
- 18. As per claim 71, Peterson et al teach a system wherein the data corresponding to the plurality of management items are numbers or orders and second display control means control display of the number or order (see fig 14, column 34 lines 59-35 lines 40).
- 19. As per claim 72-75, Peterson et al teach a system further comprising input means inputting and initial data for setting up a graph and the number of days setting a period in the graph (see fig 14, column 34 lines 59-35 lines 40).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Firmin Backer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:30-6:00.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/156,311

Art Unit: 3621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammel can be reached on (703) 305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5484.

Firmin Backer

October 31, 2002

JAMES P. TRAMMELL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600